

Intervals and the nature of the perfect

Sylvia Schreiner, George Mason University

sschrei2@gmu.edu

Talk at CHRONOS 13

4 June 2018

- Recent work (e.g. Botne 2000 and f., Cable 2013, Mucha 2015, Tallman & Stout 2018) has begun to more closely investigate tense systems that seem to employ multiple degrees or gradations of tense
- Scottish Gaelic (Gàidhlig, 'SG') encodes gradations of aspect

- I have argued elsewhere (Schreiner & Carnie 2016) that SG marks two degrees of prospective aspect
 - $a' dol a \cong$ 'going to V'
 - $gu \cong$ 'about to V'

- Today I will show that SG also marks two degrees of perfect aspect
 - air \cong 'have Vd'
 - as dèidh ≅ 'have recently Vd' (not quite, as we'll see)

- (1) Bha/tha/bithidh i air fàgail.
 be.PAST /be.PRES/be.FUT 3SF AIR leave.VN
 'She had/has/will have left.'
- (2) Bha/tha/bithidh i as dèidh fàgail.
 be.PAST/be.PRES/be.FUT 3SFAS DÈIDH leave.VN
 'She had/has/will have (just/recently) left.'

- While *air* acts much like the English perfect, *as dèidh* places an additional restriction on the interval between event and reference times
- The existence of such distinctions points to the need for further explanation from our theories

- What can a perfect be?
- How can we capture this distinction within Distributed Morphology?

Outline

- 1. Scottish Gaelic
- 2. *As dèidh* marks a perfect aspect
- 3. The meaning of *as dèidh*
- 4. A featural account
- 5. Implications

- Goidelic branch
- Highlands and Islands
- ~57,400 speakers (2011, none monolingual)
- Endangered but undergoing revitalization efforts

•VSO order (3) Chunnaic mi Mairi dà thuras. see.PAST 1s Mairi two time.s 'I saw Mairi two times.'

•Several other aspect markers are syntactically parallel:

(4) Bha mi gu litir a sgrìobhadh.
be.PAST 1s GU letter AGRO write.VN
'I was about to write a letter.'
(5) Bha mi a' dol a sgrìobhadh litir.
be.PAST 1s A' DOL A write.VN letter
'I was going to write a letter.'

(6) Bha mi a' sgrìobhadh litir.
be.PAST 1s A' write.VN letter
'I was writing a letter.'

- Air is analyzed as a perfect marker by Ramchand (1993)
 - She ascribes a more limited range of meanings to it than we see here
- I have shown that it shows behavior very similar to that of the English perfect (Reed 2012)
- I assume these particles (gu, a' dol a, air, as dèidh, a', Ø) compete for insertion into the Aspect head

 In anteriority theories of perfects, the perfect says that event (/situation) time precedes assertion (/reference/topic) time (Reichenbach 1947, Binnick 1991, Hornstein 1990, Klein 1992, Smith 1997, Higginbotham 2009, Demirdache & Uribe-Etxebarria 1997 and f., et al.)

(7) PERFECT = $\lambda P_{(vt)}$. $\lambda t_{(i)}$. $\exists e: [\tau(e) < t \& P(e)]$

- **'Extended now' theories** (e.g., Bennett & Partee 1972, McCoard 1978, Dowty 1979)
 - The perfect locates an event within a time span that stretches from sometime in the past up to speech time/the present
- **'Perfect Time Span'** (latridou, et al. (2001), Pancheva & von Stechow (2004))
 - PTS: left bound introduced by a perfect-level adverbial (overt or understood); right bound is assertion time
 - Event must take place within the PTS for a perfect sentence to hold true

- Is it similar to an English perfect?
 - Distributionally aspectual
 - Infelicitous with past positional adverbials (cf. German)
 - Comrie 1976, McCoard 1978, Hornstein 1977, Dowty 1979, Klein 1992, Giorgi & Pianesi 1998, Musan 2001, Pancheva & von Stechow 2004, among others
 - Readings vary with different adverbial positions
 - e.g., Hornstein 1990, McCoard 1978, Comrie 1985, Klein 1992; see also Michaelis 1994
 - Experiential, resultative, and universal readings

 Distributionally aspectual, not tense:
 (8) Bha/tha/bithidh Calum as dèidh dannsadh. be.PAST/be.PRES/be.FUT Calum AS DÈIDH dance.VN
 'Calum had/have/will have just/recently danced.'

• Past adverbial infelicity:

(9) Tha Iain as dèidh ithe an-diugh (mar tha). be.PRES Iain AS DÈIDH eat.VN today already 'Iain has (recently-)eaten today (already).'
(10) *Tha Iain as dèidh ithe an-dè/a-raoir. be.PRES Iain AS DÈIDH eat.VN yesterday/last night Intended: 'Iain has (recently-)eaten yesterday/last night.'

Ambiguity of adverbial attachment
 (11) Bha Calum as dèidh a' bhùth fhàgail
 be.PAST Calum AS DÈIDH the.SF shop leave.VN

aig meadhan-latha. at mid-day 'Calum had (recently) left the store at noon.'

(12) Bha Calum air a' bhùth fhàgail be.PAST Calum AIR the.SF shop leave.VN

mar tha aig meadhan-latha.already at mid-day'Calum had (recently) left the store already at noon.' (assertion-time reading)

Calum as dèidh a' (13) Bha bhùth fhàgail be.past Calum as dèidh the.sf shop leave.vn dìreach aig meadhan-latha, agus bha directly at mid-day and be.PAST lain as dèidh a' bhùth fhàgail aig uair. lain AS DÈIDH the.SF shop leave.VN at one 'Calum had left the store precisely at noon, and lain had left at 1.' (event time reading)

• Experiential reading (14) Tha mi as dèidh Cher fhaicinn trì be.PRES 1S AS DÈIDH Cher see.VN three tursan an t-seachdain seo mar tha. time.Pthe week PROX already 'I have (recently-)seen Cher three times this week already.'

- Resultative reading
- (15) Tha mi as dèidhmo chofaidh a dhòrtadh. be.PRES 1s AS DÈIDH POSS.1s coffee AGRO spill.VN 'I've (recently) spilled my coffee.'

- Universal reading
- (16) Tha mi as dèidh fuireach ann an Glaschu be.pres 1s As DÈIDH live.vn in Glasgow
 - airson ùine mhòr a-nisde.
 - for timebig now
 - 'I have lived in Glasgow for a long time now.'
 - 'I am after living in Glasgow for a long time now.'

- So far: as dèidh acts like a perfect
- Translated with *just* or *recently*
- What is the difference between (e.g.) *air*, which also passes these perfect "tests", and *as dèidh*?

(17) Tha mi air/*as dèidh Cher fhaicinn be.pres 1s AIR/As DÈIDH Cher see.vn

trì tursan na mo bheatha.

three time.p in POSS.1s life

'I have seen/*recently-seen Cher three times in my life.'

(18) Tha mi air/(*as dèidh) Cher fhaicinn trì tursan na mo bheatha. Chunnaic mi i dà thuras ann an dà mhìle, agus tha mi as dèidh a faicinn aon turas am bliadhna.

'I have seen Cher three times in my life. I saw her twice in 2000 and I have recently-seen her once this year.' [UT: 2011]

- Which timespan does as deidh care about?
- In the previous examples:
 - the perfect time span is long (left bound is beginning of speaker's life) and
 - the span between event and assertion times is long
- Which one leads to ungrammaticality?

(19) √Trì tursan na mo bheatha tha mi three time.p in poss.1s life be.pres 1s as dèidh an doras a dhùnadh agus AS DÈIDH the.SM door AGRO close.VN and ghabh mi beachd nach robh na take.PAST 1s observation NEG_COMP be.PAST.DEP the.P h-iuchraichean agam. key.P at.1s 'Three times in my life I have just closed the door and realized I didn't have my keys.'

- The "restriction" present with *as dèidh* applies to how close the event time is to the assertion time
- The timing is not absolute; acceptability depends on the semantics of the verb phrase and real-world knowledge

(20) Tha mi dìreach as dèidh ithe.
be.PRES 1s directly AS DÈIDH eat.VN
'I've just eaten recently.' (infelicitous after a few hours)
(21) Tha mi as dèidh na 'messages' fhaighinn.
be.PRES 1s AS DÈIDH the.P messages get.VN

'I've (recently-)done the shopping.'

(infelicitous after about a week)

- Universal reading?
 - Final moment of event time is coextensive with initial moment of assertion time

- The interval between event and assertion times: how "long" of a perfect is it?
- "the E_R interval does not have a distinguished status in the perfect" (latridou, et al. 2001:158)
- This interval cannot be modified by adverbials (as event and assertion times can be)
- But SG encodes this distinction grammatically

- If we imagine the denotation of *as dèidh* is something like:
- (22) $[AS DÈIDH] = \lambda P_{(vt)} \cdot \lambda t_{(i)} \cdot \exists e: [\tau(e) \leq t \& P(e) \& [|t-\tau(e)| < \varepsilon_c]]$ $(\tau(e) \leq t \text{ iff there is no } t' \subset \tau(e), \text{ s.t. } t' \prec \tau(e)_{final} \text{ and } t' = t_{init})$
- Where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_c$ is a small amount to be determined contextually

- We want anteriority to be represented for both types of perfect
 - They both indicate the same relationship between ET and RT
- And *air* can be used anywhere *as dèidh* can: *as dèidh* is more specific

- If the features in the Asp head are responsible for encoding the assertion-event time relationship (e.g., D&UE),
 - we need something to tell us that event time is after assertion time (perfect) and
 - something else to tell us that these two times must be related in a particular way (restricted)

- Vocabulary Items competing for insertion into Aspect:
 - [perfect] \leftrightarrow /er/ • [perfect, restricted] \leftrightarrow /as dyui/
- In the case that the Asp terminal node is specified for [perfect] and [restricted], as dèidh will win (it is the most highly specified).
- If it is only specified for [perfect], *air* will win (*as dèidh* is too highly specified)

- The prospective can be treated the same way:
 - [prospective] \leftrightarrow /a dol a/
 - [prospective, restricted]

 $\begin{array}{ll} \leftrightarrow & /a \ dol \ a_{i} \\ \leftrightarrow & /gu/ \end{array}$

- Note that it's not the case that as dèidh existentially quantifies over a different interval the interval between event and assertion times falls out of anteriority theories
- Both perfects and prospectives in SG show this two-way distinction, but imperfectives do not: they do not separate event and reference times

- So we may want a second feature that is dependent on the presence of one of the aspects that separates event time and assertion time
- One possibility: Feature geometry with hierarchical arrangement à la Cowper (1998 and f.)

• One possibility (Schreiner & Carnie (forthcoming):

- One feature that encodes separation of event and assertion times [+/-precedence]
- One feature that encodes anteriority vs. posteriority [+/- reversed]
- One feature that encodes the restriction that perfects and prospectives can have [+/-restricted]

Summing up

- SG shows "remoteness" distinctions in perfect (and prospective) aspect
- The "recent" perfect is not concerned with recency compared to speech time
- Real-world knowledge is required to judge felicity of *as dèidh* (as it is with resultatives in regular perfects)

Implications

- Irish and Hiberno-English show "after-perfects"
- Other languages may show such distinctions, or even more fine-grained ones (parallel to remoteness distinctions in tense)
- The mechanisms we decide upon for remoteness in tense should ideally be applicable to aspect as well

Acknowledgments

- Special thanks to Muriel Fisher for always enthusiastically sharing her knowledge of Scottish Gaelic.
- Research also undertaken at the University of Arizona funded in part by the National Science Foundation (NSF), #BCS0602768A, and in part by a pre-doctoral grant from the University of Arizona Social and Behavioral Sciences Research Institute; at Wheaton College, MA; and at University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

References

Aijmer, K. (2005). Just and multifunctionality. (In K. McCafferty, T. Bull, K. Killie, & T. Swan (Eds.), Contexts—Historical, Social, Linguistic: Studies in Celebration of Toril Swan (pp. 31-47). Bern: Peter Lang.)

Anderson, Lloyd. (1982). 'Perfect' as a universal and a language-particular category. In *Tense-aspect: Between semantics and pragmatics*, ed. by Paul J. Hopper, 227-264. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Barwise, J., & Cooper, R. (1981). Generalized Quantifiers and Natural Language. Linguistics and Philosophy, 4, 159-219.

Bennett, M. & Partee, B. (1972). Toward the logic of tense and aspect in English. (Bloomington: Indiana University Linguistics Club)

Binnick, R.I. (1991). *Time and the Verb*. (Oxford: Oxford University Press)

Cable, S. (2013). Beyond the past, present, and future: towards the semantics of 'graded tense' in Gĩkũyũ. Natural Language Semantics (in press). DOI 10.1007/s11050-012-9092-3

Carnie, A. (1995). Non-Verbal Predication and Head-Movement. Dissertation, MIT.

Comrie, B. (1976). Aspect. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)

Declerck, R. (1991). Tense in English: Its Structure and Use in Discourse. (London: Routledge)

Demirdache, H., & Uribe-Etxebarria, M. (1997). The syntax of temporal relations: a uniform approach to tense and aspect. (In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth West Coast Conference on Formal Linguistics* (pp. 145-159). Stanford, CA: CSLI Publications.)

Depraetere, I. (1998). On the resultative character of present perfect sentences. Journal of Pragmatics, 29, 597-613.

Depraetere, I. (1998). On the resultative character of present perfect sentences. Journal of Pragmatics, 29, 597-613.

Dowty, D. (1979). Word meaning and Montague Grammar. (Dordrecht: Reidel)

Embick, David, & Rolf Noyer. 2001. Movement operations after syntax. Linguistic Inquiry 32, 555–595.

Giorgi, A., & Pianesi, F. (1998). Tense and Aspect: From Semantics to Morphosyntax. (Oxford: Oxford University Press)

Halle, M., & Marantz, A. (1993). Distributed Morphology and the Pieces of Inflection. (In K. Hale & S. Keyser (Eds.), The View from Building 20, (pp. 111-176). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.)

Hayashi, M. (2011). The structure of multiple tenses in Inuktitut. Dissertation, University of Toronto.

Higginbotham, J. (2009). Tense, Aspect, and Indexicality. (New York: Oxford University Press)

Hornstein, N. (1977). Towards a Theory of Tense. Linguistic Inquiry, 8.3, 521-557.

Hornstein, N. (1990). As Time Goes By: Tense and Universal Grammar. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press)

latridou, S., Anagnostopoulou, E. & Izvorski, R. (2001). Observations about the form and the meaning of the Perfect. (In M. Kenstowicz (Ed.), *Ken Hale: A Life in Language* (pp. 189-238). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.)

Katz, G. (2003b). On the stativity of the English perfect. (In A. Alexiadou, M. Rathert, & A. von Stechow (Eds.), Perfect Explorations (pp. 205-234). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.)

Keenan, E.L., & Stavi, J. (1986). A semantic characterization of natural language determiners. Linguistics and Philosophy, 9.3, 253-326.

Klein, W. (1992). The present perfect puzzle. Language, 68, 525-52.

Klein, Wolfgang. 1994. Time in Language. New York: Routledge.

Klein, Wolfgang. 1995. A time relational analysis of Russian aspect. Language 71.669-695.

Lappin, S. (2000). An intensional parametric semantics for vague quantifiers. Linguistics and Philosophy, 23.6, 599-620.

Lee, D. (1987). The semantics of just. Journal of Pragmatics, 11, 377-398.

Lee, D. (1991). Categories in the description of just. Lingua, 83, 43-66.

McCawley, J. (1971). Tense and time reference in English. (In C. Fillmore & D.T. Langendoen (Eds.), *Studies in Linguistic Semantics* (pp. 96-113). New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.) McCoard. R.W. (1978). *The English Perfect: Tense-Choice and Pragmatic Inferences*. (Amsterdam: North-Holland)

Michaelis, L. (1994). The ambiguity of the English present perfect. *Journal of Linguistics, 30,* 111-57.

Musan, R. (2001). The present perfect in German: Outline of its semantic composition. Natural Language and Linguistic Theory, 19, 355-401.

Ó Sé, D. (2004). The 'after' perfect and related constructions in Gaelic dialects. ÉRIU, 54, 179-248.

Pancheva, R. (2003). The aspectual makeup of Perfect participles and the interpretations of the Perfect. (In A. Alexiadou, M. Rathert, & A. von Stechow (Eds.), *Perfect Explorations* (pp. 277-308). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.)

Pancheva, R., & von Stechow, A. (2004). On the present perfect puzzle. (In K. Moulton & M. Wolf (Eds.), Proceedings of NELS 34 (pp. 469-485). BookSurge Publishing.)

Ramchand, G. (1993). Aspect and argument structure in modern Scottish Gaelic. Dissertation, Stanford University.

Reichenbach, H. (1947). Elements of Symbolic Logic. (New York: Dover)

Schreiner, S. L.R., and A. Carnie. (2016). The syntax and semantics of Scottish Gaelic a' dol aL. Journal of Celtic Linguistics 17, 1-30.

Smith, C. (1997). *The Parameter of Aspect*. (Dordrecht: Kluwer)

Tallman, A. J.R., and T. Stout. (2018). Tense and temporal remoteness in Chácobo (Pano). (In M. Keough, et al (Eds.), *Proceedings of WSCLA 21*. U of British Columbia Working Papers in Linguistics 46.

ter Meulen, A. (1995). Representing Time in Natural Language. (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press)

Vlach, F. (1993). Temporal adverbials, tenses, and the perfect. *Linguistics and Philosophy*, 19, 231-283.